

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Historic Preservation Certification Application
State Historic Preservation Office Review & Recommendation Sheet
Significance--Part 1

Project number: _____

NUMBER
1

(Property) _____
30 E. 15th Street

Cincinnati Ohio 45202
Over-the-Rhine Historic District

(Historic District)
 NR District _____ Certified State or Local district

Date application received by State 07/02/2018

Date(s) additional information requested by State _____

Date complete information received by State 07/02/2018

Date of transmittal to NPS 07/19/2018

Property visited by State staff? _____ yes, no


_____ Preliminary done

SHPO REVIEW SUMMARY

- Fully reviewed by SHPO
- No outstanding concerns
- Owner informed of SHPO recommendation
- _____ In-depth NPS review requested
- _____ Recommendation different from applicant's request

NUMBER
2

STATE RECOMMENDATION:

Scott A. McIntosh  _____, who meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards, has reviewed this application.

The property is included within the boundaries of a registered historic district, contributes to the significance of the district, and is a "certified historic structure" for the purpose of rehabilitation.

_____ The property is included within the boundaries of a registered historic district, contributes to the significance of the district, and is a "certified historic structure" for a charitable contribution for conservation purposes in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code.

_____ The property does not contribute to the significance of the above-named district.

_____ Insufficient documentation has been provided to evaluate the structure.

_____ This application is being forwarded without recommendation.

Preliminary determinations:

_____ The property appears to meet National Register Criteria for Evaluation and will be nominated individually.

_____ The property does not appear to meet National Register Criteria for Evaluation and will not be nominated.

_____ The property appears to contribute to the significance of a:


_____ potential historic district that appears to meet the National Register Criteria for Evaluation and will likely be nominated.

_____ registered historic district but is outside period(s) or areas of significance as documented in the National Register nomination or district nomination on file with the NPS and nomination will be amended.

_____ The property is located in a proposed historic district and:

_____ The property does not appear to contribute to significance of proposed historic district.

_____ The proposed historic district does not appear to meet NR criteria for Evaluation and will not be nominated.

7/19/18 
Date State Official Signature

Ohio Historic Preservation Office
800 E. 17th Avenue
Columbus, OH 43211
614/298-2000

NUMBER
3

ISSUES

- Extensive loss or deterioration of historic fabric
- Moved property
- Substantial alterations over time
- State recommendation inconsistent with NR documentation
- Significance less than 50 years old
- Functionally related complex or multiple buildings within an individual nomination.
- Obscured or covered elevation(s)
- Other

NUMBER
4

Complete items below as appropriate:

- (1) 1840-1941 is the period(s) of significance of the district.
- (2) The property is mentioned in the NR or state or local district documentation, Section _____, Page _____.
- (3) For preliminary determinations, the status of the nomination for the property/historic district:
 - Nomination has already been submitted to the State Review Board, and will be forwarded to the NPS within _____ months. Draft nomination is enclosed.
 - Nomination was submitted to NPS on _____
 - Nomination process will likely be completed within thirty months.
 - Other, explain:
- (4) The property is located in a registered district but its current condition is inconsistent with the determination of its contribution to the district as stated in the nomination. Supplemental Listing Record requested.

NUMBER
5

Describe problematic issues or other concerns.

See attachments: photographs maps other: photo key

NPS COMMENTS:

Date

National Park Service Reviewer